# Rating Criteria for the 2016 CDBG, HOME, and ESG Grant Applications

### Consistency with federal regulations and laws

## 1. Does this activity meet the priorities of the 2015-2019 Consolidated Plan?

Identified Specific Project	High Priority But Not	Medium Priority	Low Consistency	No Consistency
in the Consolidated Plan	Specifically Identified in			
and High Priority	the Consolidated Plan			
Project is specifically	This project is a high	This project is a	This project is a low	Project does not address
mentioned in the	priority project per the	medium priority project	priority project per the	a priority in the
Consolidated Plan as a high	Consolidated Plan.	per the Consolidated	Consolidated Plan.	Consolidated Plan or it is
priority project to fund.		Plan.		not an eligible project.
10 9	8 7	6 5	4 3	2 0

Score: \_\_\_\_\_ Please briefly explain your reasoning:

## 2. Environmental Clearance (Title 24 Part 58)

No Environmental Impact	Minimal Environmental Impact	Substantial Environmental Impact	Adverse Environmental Impact
Federal environmental review requirements (24 CFR 58) have been completed and adequately addressed, and no further action is needed at the time of application filing. Or the project is classified as an "exempt" activity under 24 CFR 58.34 or a "categorical exclusion" activity under 24 CFR 58.35 (i.e., the project will not have a physical impact on or result in any physical changes to the environment).	The applicant is aware of the impacts and has a plan to address them. Due to Applicant's ability, addressing these potential actions can be performed in a somewhat timely manner and without difficulty. Addressing potential impacts should take less than 180 days by September 2016 (the approval and receipt date of funding). The applicant provides a plan to address these matters and/or expresses knowledge, commitment, ability and willingness to address these issues. There is some potential that the environmental review requirements may be resolved by September 2016 (prior to the approval and receipt date of funding) and no later than 90 days after (by December 2016)	Applicant needs time to address the substantial impact of the activity and/or the impacts have not been considered. Issues may be significant and difficult, requiring significant technical assistance and addressing these potential actions may require more than 180 days beyond September 2016 (prior to the approval and receipt date of funding) to complete, which shall adversely affect the timely completion of the project.	The project has adverse environmental impacts that cannot be mitigated and does not have an EIR / EIS.
7 6	5 4	3 2	1 0

#### 3. Community support (for example, approval of project by a City Council)

Strong Community Support	Moderate Community Support	Minimal Community	No Community
		Support	Support
City Council, Board of Supervisors,	City Council, Board of Supervisors, or Planning	City Council, Board of	City Council, Board of
or Planning Commission has	Commission will approve the project (for a	Supervisors,	Supervisors, Planning
approved the project (for a	development project) after certain conditions	Planning	Commission, or
development project); An advisory	are met. An advisory body (i.e. HSOC) has	Commission, or	advisory board opposes
body (i.e. HSOC) has recommended	recommended funding for this project after	advisory board has	the project.
funding for this project.	certain conditions are met.	taken no directions.	
8 7	6 5	4 3	2 0

Score: \_\_\_\_\_ Please briefly explain your reasoning:

**4. Seriousness of community development need proposed to be addressed by project.** Applicant must clearly describe how the proposed project will benefit the community.

Serious Community	Moderate Community Need	Minimal Community Need	No Community
Need			Need
The applicant clearly	The applicant describes the need but not	The need appears questionable as	The project does not
describes a serious	clearly or completely and provides no	to its significance and seriousness	address any
community need that the	supporting documents. The project will	to the community. The project will	community need.
project will address and	provide a direct benefit to the target population	provide a benefit but the benefit is	-
provides supporting	but the project will have a moderate impact to	indirect and will not have an impact	
documents and statistics.	the community.	to the community.	
13 10	9 6	5 2	1 0

Score: \_\_\_\_\_ Please briefly explain your reasoning:

2

#### 5. Degree to which project benefits low-income and very low-income families or persons

Maximum Benefits	Moderate Benefits	Minimal Benefits	No Benefits
100% of the project is restricted to low and	Applicant states the benefits to low and	Vague benefits to	The project does
moderate income persons. For CDBG	moderate income persons, but it is unclear and	low and moderate	not benefit any
projects the Activity provides benefit to	unrealistic. For CDBG projects the At least 51%	income persons.	low-income or
presumed benefit population as per 24 CFR	of clients must meet 24 CFR 570.208(a)(2)(i)(B)		very low-income
570.208(a)(2) and 24 CFR 570.506(b)(3)(i).	and 24 CFR 570.506(b)(3)(iii).		families.
10 8	7 5	4 2	1 0

Score: \_\_\_\_\_ Please briefly explain your reasoning:

#### Feasibility of the project to be completed on time and as budgeted.

CDBG funded projects – must complete by the April 29<sup>st</sup> annual deadline

HOME funded projects - must commit funds within two years, and complete the project within five years

ESG funded projects – must spend Emergency Shelter Grants Program grant funds within 24 months of grant award

#### 6. Does the project include a clear timetable?

ယ

Clear Timetable	Somewhat Clear Timetable	Questionable Timetable	Unclear Timetable
Project schedule is comprehensive and includes clear documentation that the project is ready to start upon approval of funding. It is clearly documented how the project will meet the timeliness requirements of the CCBG, HOME, or ESG program.	The project start date is somewhat uncertain and the timetable is inadequately prepared. It is somewhat likely the Grant funds will be fully expended and meet timeliness deadlines of CDBG, HOME or ESG program.	The project schedule is uncertain or has not been established and schedule is inadequately prepared with key information missing and does not address important milestones.	The project schedule is poorly prepared and time schedule is unrealistic.
10 8	7 5	4 2	1 0

## 7. Cost effectiveness of funds requested and leveraging of other funds

Secured Funding	Partially Secured Funding	Questionable Funding	No Funding Leverage
		Leverage	
Funding needs are clearly identified and other funding sources have been secured and firm written commitments have been obtained.	Non-grant funding needs are identified while other funding sources are not completely secured or confirmed. Some sources have been secured and firm written commitments are in place. Plans to secure other funding sources are underway.	The project is reliant solely on Grant Funds to finance the entire project with no plans of leveraging.	Grant funds would have little impact to complete the project and no other resources have been identified or secured.
9 7	6 5	4 3	2 0

Score: \_\_\_\_\_ Please briefly explain your reasoning:

## 8. Has the applicant applied and received the necessary permits?

Completed Land Use Permits	Partially Completed Land Use Permits	Incomplete Land	Unpermitted Use
		Use Permits	
The applicant has full and	The applicant has a comprehensive plan for obtaining	The applicant faces	The project is not
complete site control. There	permits related to land use, zoning, and plans. The	significant issues	compliant with the
are no issues with land use	concerns appear to be fully resolvable, but also likely to	related to site	land use and zoning
designation, zoning, plans, and	adversely impact the project's implementation with	control, land use,	ordinance.
project design and project	delays up to three months after funding (by December	zoning, and plans.	
permits have been issued.	2016) to resolve.		
8 7	6 4	3 2	1 0

## 9. Organization's experience or knowledge regarding CDBG, HOME or ESG requirements and grant administration

Strong Knowledge and Capacity	Somewhat Knowledgeable	Minimal Knowledge and	No Prior
		Capacity	Experience
The applicant clearly understands its	The applicant has some management	The applicant does not	The applicant
responsibility for income compliance in regards	capacity and professional experience.	have a firm understanding	has no prior
to primarily benefitting low and moderate	The applicant does not describe the	or experience complying	experience.
income and homeless/at-risk of becoming	process and controls the project will	with HUD regulations. Will	
homeless beneficiaries. Monitoring of	utilize to ensure income and program	need guidance.	
applicant has not resulted in findings.	compliance.		
8 7	6 4	3 2	1 0

Score: \_\_\_\_\_ Please briefly explain your reasoning:

## 10. Program/Project Management Capacity

5 of 6

Strong Program/Project Management Capacity	Some Program/Project Management Capacity	Minimal Program/Project Management Capacity	No Program Project Management Capacity
The Applicant clearly documents or shows evidence of the necessary competencies, skill set, management capacity, professional experience and qualifications to successfully manage and complete the project.	The Applicant appears to have some of the necessary competencies, skill set, management capacity, professional experience and qualifications to successfully manage and complete the project (documentation is unclear)	The Applicant appears to have very minimal or none of the necessary competencies, skill set, and capacity to successfully manage the project (documentation is unclear).	The applicant has no prior experience.
11 9	8 6	5 3	2 0

#### 11. Application completeness and readiness

Complete and clear	Missing Data	Incomplete and confusing
All the necessary pieces of data and	Some of the data and information in the	Large majority of data and information are
information are in the application. It is clear,	application is missing and some may	not available.
organized and easy to follow.	need clarification.	
8	5 3	2 0

Score: \_\_\_\_\_ Please briefly explain your reasoning:

Scores from all the previous questions:

- 1. \_\_\_\_\_
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- 5
- 6.
- 7.
- 8.
- 9
- 10. \_\_\_\_\_
- 11. \_\_\_\_\_

Total: (Out of 102 points)

Attachment 6 - Rating Criteria